

**WILL COUNTY BOARD**  
**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING**

**MINUTES**

**July 14, 2011**

10:35 a.m.

Will County Office Building  
County Board Committee Room  
302 North Chicago Street  
Joliet, IL 60432

**CALL TO ORDER**

Mr. Moustis called the meeting to order at 10:35 a.m.

**ROLL CALL**

**Present were Members:** Adamic, L. Smith, Dralle, Goodson, Gould, Weigel, Zigrossi and Moustis.

**Absent were Members:** Bilotta, Kusta, Maher, May, Singer and Wilhelmi.

**Present from State's Attorney's Office:** M. Tatroe; M. Manning; P. Mock.

**Also Present:** L. McPhillips; B. Friefeld and M. Johannsen.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG**

Mr. Weigel led the committee in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

A motion was made by Mr. Gould, second by Mr. Weigel, to approve the minutes from the June 9, 2011 meeting. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

A motion was made by Ms. Goodson, second by Ms. Smith, to approve the executive session minutes from the June 9, 2011 meeting. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

A motion was made by Mrs. Dralle, second by Mrs. Zigrossi, to approve the minutes from the May 25, 2011 Reapportionment Committee meeting. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mr. Gould, to approve the minutes from the June 1, 2011 Reapportionment Committee meeting. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Ms. Smith, to approve the minutes from the June 13, 2011 Reapportionment Committee meeting. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

**OLD BUSINESS**

**NEW BUSINESS**

**Request for Real Estate Tax Abatement for Therafin Manufacturing**

Mr. Moustis stated that this is an existing Will County company and even though we should be tighter on abatements, he believed this was very deserving. This is the type of industry we like to see here and they are expanding. He stated there was a difference of the total taxes which he assumed was from reevaluation of the assessment of the overall property.

Missy Snyder from CED stated that they are recommending that the abatement be building and improvement so we used the 2010 assessment to reevaluate. It is a small manufacturer in one of the two targeted industries.

Mr. Weigel asked if the school district would participate.

Ms. Snyder replied she has not met with them yet.

Mr. Weigel stated they normally do not participate.

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mrs. Zigrossi, to place a resolution on the on the July County Board Agenda approving the Therafin tax abatement. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for County Executive to Execute Documents Related to Delinquent Tax**

**Auction**

A motion was made by Ms. Smith, second by Ms. Goodson, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda authorizing the County Executive to execute necessary documents related to the delinquent tax auction. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request to Increase Treasurer's Automation Fee**

Treasurer Weber informed the committee that they have polled the other counties and they are already at \$5.00. We are currently at \$2.00. We are charging duplicate bills which will not affect the taxpayers because those can be downloaded from our web site for free. This will apply to financial institutions mostly. Currently our corporate fund is paying a lot of their automation related costs because the automation fund is not well funded so this will help that issue.

Mr. Moustis stated he was reluctant to raise any fees including automation fees. How does this help the operation of the treasurer's office; how much is in your automation fee and how is it currently being used.

Mr. Weber replied it is being used for one-half staff person and the rest is used to cover about 40% of the computer costs. They cannot cover some of the maintenance agreements.

Mr. Moustis requested that Treasurer Weber put something together to show how the funds will be used; the effectiveness; how it will integrate with our goals for automating. For example, it will allow us to pay a full time person to be paid out of automation or software or participate in the finance system that we will ultimately replace.

Ms. Goodson stated that Mr. Weber had indicated that this is an increase on the banks and not the individual resident and inquired what would be an annual amount that a bank currently pays. How much of an increase would this be on an institution?

Mr. Weber replied he would have to review the parcels to determine that amount and agreed to provide an analysis.

Mr. Adamic inquired how much does he generate per year with the \$2.00.

Mr. Weber replied about \$80,000.00 a year but that is not just duplicate bills but also tax sale automation.

Mr. Moustis stated this would be postponed until next month for the second meeting of the Executive Committee in August. He requested that Mr. Weber provide that backup information so that it can be reviewed prior to the committee meeting.

**Request to Transfer Funds within County Clerk's Budget**

A motion was made by Mr. Weigel, second by Mr. Adamic, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda transferring funds within the County Clerk's Budget. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Increase of Appropriations in Mortgage Foreclosure Budget**

Mr. Sangmeister advised that his request is to transfer fees that were collected from the mortgage foreclosure account into the actual operations budget. The time the program was started last year was after our budget was actually submitted and not enough money was appropriated in the professional services line. We are \$250,000.00 short for the remainder of this fiscal year.

Mr. Moustis clarified that he would be taking money from that program and move it to professional services.

Mr. Sangmeister replied that we are taking the user fees that were collected, the actual filing fees that were not appropriated, and move it into the operations budget so that we can utilize it.

Mr. Rafac explained that this is the new special fund that we created.

Mr. Moustis clarified that these are funds that were never appropriated.

Mr. Rafac stated that we should have budgeted that fund as a break even operation so we would have to increase the revenue appropriation and increase the expense appropriation.

The funds are placed in a special fund. This should have had an appropriated revenue in the special fund and an appropriated expense which should have been equal.

Mr. Sangmeister stated that in 2011 we projected that there would be \$1.2 million collected in the fund and the appropriation that was made was \$750,000.00 in other professional services and a small amount in office supplies for printing and publishing. We need to increase our other professional services line above the \$750,000.00 up to about \$1 million.

Mr. Moustis said that generally on special funds, there is revenue that is generated for a specific use. In this special fund what is the intended use because it has to be restricted.

Mr. Sangmeister replied the intent is to fund the mediators that we use and the expenses of the foreclosure and mediation program. It is a filing fee that is specifically collected to provide mediation services for people that are being foreclosed upon.

Mr. Moustis asked if this was a special fund created by state statute.

Mr. Sangmeister replied that the special fund was created by the board; the filing fee was instituted by the Chief Judge with the permission of the Illinois Supreme Court. He stated that the fund was instituted in August where we did some projections with regard to foreclosures to determine how much would be needed for the year. Unfortunately, the foreclosure crisis has not eased up although there are a few less filings. Originally, we were getting 700 foreclosure filings per month and now we are getting about 500 per month.

Mr. Moustis inquired if the additional money that goes into professional services is going to be used for mediation and if so, then it would be an acceptable use.

Mr. Sangmeister replied absolutely and is not used for any other purpose than mediation. It is a flat \$150.00 per file no matter how many times they handle that file in the course of the mediation. Some of these mediations might take 5 or 6 sessions to work something out.

Mr. Moustis inquired what exactly does professional services mean, are you using other expertise or hiring someone else?

Mr. Sangmeister explained that the mediators receive a flat \$150.00 per file regardless of how long that takes. They are not employees, they are independent contractors and the money will be used for mediators.

Mrs. Dralle clarified that this was not just a pilot program, it was instituted by Kilbride and the Chief Judge for Will County and inquired if there are other places in Illinois that are doing this.

Mr. Sangmeister replied yes, they have started mediating in Cook County which uses general revenue funds that were appropriated by Cook County. They are starting a program in Peoria County; McLean County and Madison County which are modeled on our program.

Mrs. Dralle inquired if there is a report that indicates how many foreclosures dealt with through mediation have been successful.

Tom Osterberger, a mediator for Will County, stated that about 1 year before this program was approved several attorneys worked with the Chief Judge and Mr. Sangmeister to put together a program that would cost the county nothing, the borrowers nothing and be available to those who are in foreclosure. A program was instituted which needed the approval of the Supreme Court to charge a fee. \$150.00 was added to the filing fee. The group charges \$150.00 per case, no matter how many times we hear the case. We try to get to a result as quickly as possible both for the bank and for the borrower. There is no requirement that there be statistics, however, we are compiling the raw data and giving it to Mr. Sangmeister for review. Three or four counties have now adopted our program. The people in foreclosure, the first step is to try and give them relief, however, in cases where that is not possible, it is important that they plan and see what is coming. We have people that have thanked not only the mediators as well as the banks for the information and assistance. We have approximately 1,300 cases right now that we are waiting for resolution and are hopeful that most will be successful. It is a long process and we are only 10 months into it.

Mr. Sangmeister stated that in general there have been approximately 6500 foreclosure cases filed within this county. Of those, 50% are people who have not taken advantage of the program either because they are walking away from the mortgage or have not been served to appear. 20% of the people have no criteria in order to assist which means they have no assets and there is nothing we can do to help them. 14% we have been able to assist in some way. The remainder of the cases, 1,300 are still pending in the system. Most of them will be resolved positively but we do not have a number on them yet.

Mr. Osterberger advised that there are currently 5 mediators. We have also employed a clerk so that the Circuit Clerk is not charged with the responsibility of maintaining these cases other than in the normal docket of foreclosure. Most of these borrowers do not have the financial ability to hire an attorney and we estimated about 30% of these people would participate in the program and we are getting closer to 50%. There are other alternatives than modification. There is an additional public service that Judge Kinney and the courts wanted to provide which may ultimately be one of the largest benefits of this program to the borrowers.

Mr. Gould requested that Mr. Sangmeister when doing his budget next year to project 500 cases a month.

Mr. Sangmeister replied that he would do that. It was about 500 per month and when we started last August it was about 700 per month and then slowed down. We are finding out that people are coming in that have been served and told us that they are working on a loan modification with the bank. The financial institutions are starting to catch up on some of these modifications and more programs are being started.

Mr. Osterberger stated that we have hired consultants that were in the banking/lending industry to keep the attorneys who may not understand the modification program to tell them that this is not being done correctly. The consultants are telling them what they are allowed to do because the regulations have changed three times.

Mrs. Dralle requested that Mr. Sangmeister provide the County Board office staff the expenditures so far in terms of fees and consultants.

Mrs. Zigrossi stated that she understands completely on the modification side in trying to push the institutions on the lending side to do those modifications is a big plus because it was not happening before.

A motion was made by Mr. Gould, second by Mr. Weigel, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda increasing the appropriations in the Mortgage Foreclosure Budget. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Approval of 2011 Application, Allocation and Memorandum of Understanding for Justice Assistance Grant**

Mr. Palmer advised that in the packet was the application and background information on the allocation in Illinois. He distributed today a copy of the MOU and an overview of the JAG award over the last several years. This is an annual appropriation. We receive an actual dollar amount with the City of Joliet but it is awarded as a disparate partnership which means we have to apply together, work out an MOU. Several years ago, Judicial Committee Chair Dralle and he negotiated with the City of Joliet to receive 50/50. We are receiving approximately \$20,000.00 more than we would have with the allocation. He is asking for a resolution for his recommendation and authorizing the County Executive to execute the Memorandum of Understanding with the City of Joliet. Previously we have allocated the money for in car cameras but this year we are requesting that we use this \$40,000.00 allocation for the administration adjudication software. This fits with the JAG priorities as far as streamlining the court system and doing new things that are proven. This would take away some of the

corporate dollars that would be needed to pay for this software package. That is their recommendation.

A motion was made by Mrs. Dralle, second by Mr. Adamic, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda approving the 2011 Application, Allocation and Memorandum of Understanding for Justice Assistance Grant. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

**Request to Process Grant for Inland Port Study**

Mr. Palmer advised that they were notified on June 29<sup>th</sup> that the Illinois Department of Commerce & Economic Opportunity had \$100,000.00 for us. It is a feasibility study of the inland port idea of a possible authority or transportation district. This came about because Senator A.J. Wilhelmi sought these funds, it was the end of the year, so we have to execute an agreement quickly to meet the end of the year being closed. The grant period is June 1, 2011 to May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2013 but we believe it will move quickly. They are planning to put out an RFQ to hire a consultant to do the study and he provided the committee a memo outlining the goals. We are trying to get real data from an independent source that will help Senator Wilhelmi and the county as they move forward with examining the challenges of the ports and the intermodal facilities; the costs of infrastructure and how it will be paid in the future and best to deal with that. We would like to get the RFQ out soon and bring it back to the board for approval. The goal is to have this done by the end of January so it can be used in the spring general assembly.

Mr. Moustis stated the idea of a Will County port even if it dealt primarily with infrastructure and his concern was that we not do a study that is very narrowly defined. Are the grant funds narrowly defined?

Mr. Palmer replied that as far as he knows it is not narrowly defined and we put out a scope of generally of what we want to do. It may not be a port authority; it may be a transportation district and is to look at the challenges of what impact that has on the major areas.

Mr. Moustis suggested that if the county is going to do this, then we should look for county solutions. This is not the only area that will have large transportation development. He would like it to move forward but to not have such a narrow scope. It should apply to the entire county and future development.

Mr. Palmer stated that when they offered us \$100,000.00 we did not want to assume there was any other dollars out there. There is no match with this but if we do an RFQ and sit down with a qualified consultant that says it will cost this amount of money, then we would have to come back or look for partners or other funding.

Mr. Moustis agreed that other benefactors of this should contribute. This benefits the county, the state, Joliet and Elwood. They should make a public commitment before moving forward.

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mr. Gould, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda authorizing the County Executive to process the Illinois Department of Commerce & Economic Opportunity Grant for Feasibility Study for Inland Port Authority or Transportation District. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

Mr. Palmer advised that once a consultant has been selected, it will be brought back to the board for approval.

Mr. Moustis stated he would like to see the RFQ and who responds and the recommendation. He would like to see who is interested.

### **Request to Offer Voluntary Severance and Voluntary Furlough Plan for Third Time**

Mr. Rafac stated he believed one part of this should be adopted and on the books and the other part is more discretionary.

Mr. Moustis suggested that we should have the same thing and it would be a matter of how far do we extend it. It would have a date and then just have an extension on the program.

Mr. Rafac advised in 2009 the voluntary severance was applicable to the first quarter of 2010; we offered it again in the summer of 2010 and it was applicable to the fourth quarter. This current offer would be applicable to the first two of the last three months of the year.

Mr. Moustis inquired who is participating, such as Sheriff's Department and who else is offering it.

Mr. Rafac replied he has definite yes from Pam McGuire and Karen Stukel. He stated he has very likely from Sheriff's operation as well as the Treasurer's Office. He did not believe it would apply to anyone in the Coroner's office at this time or the Auditor's office. We do have participation from most of the departments. He believed the Regional Office of Education will also offer it.

Mr. Moustis stated that before we move it forward or approve it, he would like to have firm commitments. He believed it was crucial that the Sheriff's Department participate as it would be beneficial to the county and if the sheriff is participating, the potential upfront cost will be much different and we should know that before moving forward. He would like to see commitments from other office holders and the courts whether they will participate or not. It is difficult to get a true analysis of cost and benefit without that information.

Mr. Rafac stated the benefit does not help this year. In the preliminary budget meetings we did have about 24 people from Sunny Hill last year who took advantage of the plan and that did lower several of their sub-department salary line items.

Mr. Gould asked when is the deadline, when did he need to know?

Mr. Rafac replied the way this was proposed was that we would have intentions of people who would participate by August 15 and then that would give us a couple of weeks to analyze this because what is submitted back to them on both the furlough and severance is what the impact would be to their salaries.

Mr. Moustis suggested that we leave a spot on the agenda for this and Mr. Rafac work to get commitments before the County Board meeting. If we receive the commitments we will move it forward in July and if we don't we will wait until August. Then in August whatever we have then we will know.

Committee members agreed to this recommendation.

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mr. Gould, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda offering the voluntary severance and voluntary furlough plan for a third time. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

#### **Request for Resolution Adopting 2011 Action Plan for CDBG and Home**

##### **Investment Grant Programs**

A motion was made by Mrs. Dralle, second by Mr. Adamic, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda adopting the 2011 Action for CDBG and HOME Investment Grant Programs. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

Mrs. Dralle inquired if Community Service Council made a request of \$50,000.00 and received nothing. What other means do we have to help them.

Mr. Pullman replied currently they are being funded out of the NSP program and that will continue.

#### **Request to Present Pitney Bowes Mail Machine Contracts**

A motion was made by Mrs. Dralle, second by Mr. Weigel, to place a resolution of the County Board Agenda renewing the contract for Pitney Bowes Mail Machines. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

#### **Request to Declare Sheriff's Boat and Vehicles as Surplus**

A motion was made by Ms. Goodson, second by Ms. Smith, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda declaring Sheriff's boat and vehicles as surplus. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to “D” Construction, Inc. (\$933,623.61) let on June 22, 2011, CH 7/29/79 (Old Chicago Road/Stripmine Road/Tulley Road), Section 11-00000-03-GM, County Board District #6**

A motion was made by Mr. Weigel, second by Mr. Gould, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda confirming the award to “D” Construction. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to Gallagher Asphalt Corporation (\$479,490.85) let on June 22, 2011, CH 36 (Renwick Road) Section 11-00160-13-GM, County Board Districts #5, #7 & #9**

A motion was made by Mr. Weigel, second by Mr. Adamic, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda confirming the award to Gallagher Asphalt Corp. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to Davis Concrete Construction Co. (\$108,470.00) let on June 22, 2011, CH 54/62 (Briggs Street) Various Locations along Briggs Street, Section 11-00053-14-GM & 11-00150-15-GM, County Board Districts #8 and #9**

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mrs. Zigrossi, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda confirming the award to Davis Concrete Construction Co. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Resolution for Improvements by County under the IL Highway Code for CH 54/62 (Briggs Street) Various Locations along Briggs Street, Section 11-00053-14-GM & 11-00150-15-GM, County Board Districts #8 and #9, using County’s Allotment of MFT Funds (\$120,000.00)**

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mrs. Zigrossi, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda authorizing MFT funds for improvements for various locations along Briggs Street. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to Kankakee Valley Construction Co., Inc. (\$411,389.55) let on June 22, 2011, CH 58 (County Line Road), Section 10-00093-07-BR, County Board District #1**

A motion was made by Mr. Weigel, second by Mr. Adamic, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda confirming the award to Kankakee Valley Construction. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Resolution Authorizing Approval of an Illinois Department of Transportation – County Joint Agreement for the Improvements to Relocate and Reconstruct the I-55 (F.A.I. Route 55) at CH 17 (Arsenal Manhattan Road) Interchange and Frontage Roads, State Section: 99-2HB-1, State Job Number: C-91-033-09; County Section 00-00117-11-GS, County Board District #6**

A motion was made by Mr. Gould, second by Mr. Adamic, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda authorizing approval of an IDOT and County joint agreement for improvements to relocate and reconstruct the I-55 and Arsenal Manhattan Road Interchange and Frontage roads. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Request for Resolution Authorizing Approval of the Expenditure of County Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the Improvements to Relocate and Reconstruct the I-55 (F.A.I. Route 55) at CH 17 (Arsenal Manhattan Road) Interchange and Frontage Roads, State Section: 99-2HB-1, State Job Number: C-91-033-09; County Section 00-00117-11-GS, County Board District #6 (\$36,800.00)**

A motion was made by Mr. Weigel, second by Ms. Goodson, to place a resolution on the County Board Agenda authorizing the expenditure of funds for improvements to relocate and reconstruct the I-55 at Arsenal Manhattan Road Interchange and Frontage Roads. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**Sheriff's Department Headquarters; Discussion Re: Next Steps & Thank You to Army & Congressional Delegation**

Mr. Moustis advised that we were requesting property from the Army on the Joliet Arsenal but discussion came about the evacuation zone from the nuclear power plant because they are talking about expanding it and then it was determined that piece of property would be within the 10 mile evacuation zone. Therefore, it no longer became a viable site. The Army was extremely gracious in their meeting with us, were very cooperative and provided suggestions on how to move forward. Our Congressional delegation was supportive and believed that we should send them a letter not only from the County Board and perhaps with the County Executive. The Sheriff's Department may want to join with this letter or perhaps do their own.

A motion was made by Mrs. Zigrossi, second by Ms. Goodson, for preparation of a thank you letter to the Army and Congressional leaders regarding the Arsenal Property for the Sheriff's Department. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

Mr. Moustis stated that the Laraway station and building as well as the Health Department building are in the worst shape.

Undersheriff Nowak thanked the Executive Committee to resurrect this discussion and just because this property we were looking at did not work out, does not eliminate the Laraway facility problems. He stated that about 95% of the Sheriff's operation needs to be put in another building. We must find another piece of property.

There was a discussion regarding the Laraway property, reviewing the whole parcel and what could be done to accommodate different facilities and utilizing certain special funds, including motor fuel funds.

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mrs. Dralle, for reviewing the Laraway property options leaving it with executive committee meeting for consideration. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

Mr. Moustis recommended scheduling a meeting for all interested parties, Forest Preserve President Singer, Director of Forest Preserve. We would sit down first to determine what needs to be done and some recommendations.

Ms. McPhillips stated that there was a study done about 7 years ago by the Wight Company. It included the Highway Department. We spoke with New Lenox and Joliet about sewer and water and there was discussion regarding recapture fees.

Mr. Moustis stated that the Laraway property issue will be placed on our next committee meeting for further discussion. Mr. Friefeld and Mr. Palmer will schedule a meeting with the interested parties for a discussion prior to the next committee meeting.

Undersheriff Nowak inquired if he could pursue other property without committing to anything.

Mr. Moustis stated it is not the Sheriff's function to go out and look for land purposes but believed it is appropriate for them to say here are areas we think would be beneficial where we might want to locate. You can look for sites that may be potential but it is the function of the County Board to purchase property.

Undersheriff Nowak stated that we did not lose sight of that.

**Authorize State's Attorney's Office to Review Executive Session Minutes and Concur with their Recommendation**

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mr. Weigel, authorizing the State's Attorney to review the Executive Session minutes. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

**Sunny Hill Replacement Hires**

A motion was made by Mr. Gould, second by Ms. Goodson, to place the Sunny Hill replacement hires on the County Board Agenda. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

**Appointments**

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mrs. Zigrossi, to place the Appointments by the County Executive on the County Board Agenda. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

**Corrected Board of Health Appointments**

A motion was made by Mr. Weigel, second by Mr. Gould, to place the Corrected Board of Health Appointments by the County Executive on the County Board Agenda. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

**Proclamations – None**

**Other New Business**

Mr. Weigel provided an update regarding 9-1-1 advising they are discussing updating the CAD system with new equipment. The board approved buying mobile mapping equipment which is \$150,000.00 expenditure and will be used by 66 fire departments in their vehicles for mapping. The county approved a voice logging equipment for \$154,000.00 replacing old equipment in the dispatch center. We have approved a license agreement with Joliet to locate some microwave equipment on one of their towers. This would provide communication between Laraway, the County Office building and the Joliet dispatch center. There is discussion of not buying property on Caton Farm Road because there is no consensus among the board members. The Wescom PSAP center wants to keep this open possibly they might want to purchase for their own center. This item might be on the next agenda for discussion.

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**Land Use & Development**

Mr. Weigel advised that there would be a special Land Use Committee meeting at 8:30 a.m. on Thursday, July 21, 2011 to discuss a zoning issue and the case would be heard at County Board this month.

Mr. Weigel stated that he has three resolutions.

**Finance**

**No Report**

**Public Works & Transportation**

**No Report**

**Judicial**

**No Report**

**Public Health & Safety**

Mr. Gould stated there were 2 bids and the lowest bid was accepted. He advised that there is an appropriation of funding for dental health.

**Legislative & Policy**

Ms. Goodson advised that the Supreme Court has made a ruling on the Capital bill indicating that it doesn't violate the rules.

Mr. Moustis inquired about video poker.

Ms. Goodson replied that the rules regarding that have not been finalized but she will look into it to see when the rules should be completed.

**Capital Improvements**

Mr. Maher advised that they are wrapping up with the Wight group.

**Executive Committee**

Mr. Moustis advised that the ranking of insurance broker is complete and a resolution is on the agenda to negotiate a professional services agreement.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE COUNTY BOARD CHAIRMAN**

**APPROVAL OF THE JULY 21, 2011 COUNTY BOARD AGENDA**

A motion was made by Mrs. Dralle, second by Mrs. Zigrossi, to approve the July 21, 2011 County Board Agenda, as amended. All in favor. MOTION CARRIES.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Mr. Moustis stated an executive session was necessary to discuss litigation.

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic, second by Mrs. Zigrossi, to go into executive session at 12:00 p.m. to discuss litigation. On a roll call vote, Adamic, L. Smith, Dralle, Goodson, Gould, Weigel, Zigrossi and Moustis voting yes. MOTION CARRIES.

Mr. Moustis announced they were now in executive session.

A motion was made by Mr. Adamic second by Mrs. Dralle, to come out of executive session at 12:18 p.m. On a roll call vote, Adamic, L. Smith, Dralle, Goodson, Gould, Weigel, Zigrossi and Moustis voting yes. MOTION CARRIES.

A motion was made by Ms. Goodson, second by Mr. Weigel, to concur with the State Attorney's Office recommendation. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.

**ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made by Ms. Goodson, second by Mr. Weigel, to adjourn the meeting at 12:18 p.m. All in favor.

MOTION CARRIES.